

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/052,185	01/18/2002	Sander Palvoelgyi	19361-089285	1155
28886 7	590 02/19/2003			
CLARK HILL, P.C.			EXAMINER	
500 WOODWA DETROIT, MI	ARD AVENUE, SUITE 48226	3500	SMALLEY, JAMES N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u>٠</u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/052,185	PALVOELGYI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		James N Smalley	3727				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sl	eet with the correspondence address				
THE in Extermination after a first the control of t	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however by within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)		— · nis action is non-final					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa						
	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration	n.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requireme	nt.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep		o by the Examiner				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·				
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•					
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application l	as been received.				
م لياردا Attachment	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti (s)	c priority under 35 U	.S.C. 99 120 and/01 121.				
1) 🔲 Notice 2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	5) 🗌 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 5 contains the spelling error, "...inwardly flanges lip..." on the next-to-last line of the claim.

Claim 6 contains the spelling error, "...to *secured* said lid..." on the last line of the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 4 recites, "wherein said first and second grooves extend around the circumference of said second sealing surface defined by said lid." It is unclear what is meant by this limitation since it is not enabled by the specification.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,185 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim 4 recites, "wherein said first and second grooves extend around the circumference of said second sealing surface defined by said lid." It is unclear what is meant by this limitation. Examiner notes the first sealing groove is within the boundary of the second sealing groove's circumferential periphery.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Pew '372 in view of Koppel '297 and in view of Aumon '485.

Regarding claim 1, De Pew '372 discloses a Grounding Means for a Filler Cap, comprising a wall for enclosing a fluid, an inwardly projecting lip forming an opening extending through a portion of the wall and defining a first sealing surface along the circumferential periphery thereof, a removable lid for closing the opening in the wall, a first radial groove formed in the first sealing surface defining an axial gap therebetween, and a first sealing ring seated in the first groove for sealing engagement between the first sealing surface when the lid is closed against the opening.

De Pew '372 does not disclose a second sealing surface along the circumferential periphery thereof facing the first sealing surface the lid is seated in the opening, a second radial groove formed in the second sealing surface or a second sealing ring.

Art Unit: 3727

Aumon '485 discloses it is known to provide a second sealing surface/axial groove/sealing ring inbetween the lid and wall of a drum.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the closure of De Pew '372 to provide a second sealing surface/axial groove/sealing ring inbetween the lid and opening, as disclosed by Aumon '485, so as to obtain the benefit of an improved sealing means therebetween.

Regarding claim 2, De Pew '372 does not disclose a reinforcing member secured to the wall and surrounding the opening for supporting the lip against the sealing forces from the lid closed against the opening.

Koppel '297 discloses it is known to provide a reinforcing member secured to he wall and surrounding the opening for supporting the lip and body shell against sealing forces and discloses this in col. 3, lines 65-68.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to provide the lip of De Pew '372 with the reinforcing member of Koppel '297 so as to obtain the benefit of increased support for the lip and body shell.

Regarding claims 3-6, De Pew '372 discloses first and second sealing surfaces which extend substantially conically inwardly into the opening, wherein the first and second sealing grooves extend around the circumference of the second sealing surface defined by the lid, wherein the lip of the opening is flanged inwardly forming the first sealing surface and the reinforcement member is fixedly secured to the inside of the wall forming a surrounding shoulder which supports the inwardly flanged lip of the opening, wherein the reinforcement member includes an inwardly flanged collar and the peripheral part of the lid includes an

Art Unit: 3727

outwardly flanged collar for overlapping engagement with the collar of the reinforcement member to secure the lid against the opening.

8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw '937 in view of Aumon '485.

Shaw '937 discloses a Filler Cap Assembly comprising a wall for enclosing a fluid, a reinforcement member secured to the wall having an inner vertical surface defining an opening in the wall, an outer vertical surface spaced generally parallel from the inner vertical surface, and a first sealing surface extending therebetween, a removable lid for closing the opening in the wall, a first radial grooves formed in the first sealing surface, and a first sealing ring seated in the first groove for sealing engagement between the first sealing surface when the lid is closed against the opening.

Shaw '937 does not disclose the lid having an outer peripheral part defining a second sealing surface facing the first sealing surface when the lid is seated in the opening, a second spaced apart radial groove formed in the second sealing surface, and a second sealing ring seated in the second groove for sealing engagement between the second sealing surface when the lid is closed against the opening.

Aumon '485 discloses it is known to provide a second sealing surface/axial groove/sealing ring inbetween the lid and wall of a drum.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the closure of Shaw '937 to provide a second sealing surface/axial groove/sealing ring inbetween the lid and opening, as disclosed by Aumon '485, so as to obtain the benefit of an improved sealing means therebetween.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/052,185

Art Unit: 3727

# Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, email <a href="CustomerService3700@uspto.gov">CustomerService3700@uspto.gov</a>.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N Smalley whose telephone number is (703) 605-4670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-5:30, Alternate Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on (703) 308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication
Assignment Branch
Certificates of Correction
Drawing Corrections/Praftsman
Fee Increase Questions
Intellectual Property Questions
Petitions/Special Programs
Terminal Disclaimers

(703) 305-8322
(703) 305-8309
(703) 305-8404/8335
(703) 305-5125
(703) 305-8217
(703) 305-9282
(703) 305-9282

If the information desired is not provided above, or has been changed, please do not call the examiner (this is the latest information provided to him) but the general information help line below.

Information Help line Internet PTO-Home Page

1-800-786-9199 http://www.uspto.gov/

jns February 11, 2003

ATHAN J. NEWHOUSE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7